



APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/260,536

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

44-35

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LEONARD MITCHARD (3) JAMES HOUSEL
(2) THOMAS BYRNE (4) LAURIE SCHEINER

Date of Interview 3/28/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: ALL PENDING

Identification of prior art discussed: AGREEMENT WAS REACHED ONLY WITH RESPECT TO THE WITHDRAWAL OF FINALITY OF THE PRIOR OFFICE ACTION.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: NO AGREEMENT WAS REACHED REGARDING ART, WRITTEN DESCRIPTION & ELECTION BY ORIGINAL PRESENTATION. Applicant will submit evidence pertaining to enablement with additional ~~additional~~ ^{vital} strains, comparison of systemic vs. local administration, and will address prior art rejections over prior claims 167, 168 as well as recent Office action.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Laurie Scheiner
LAURIE SCHEINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER